

Assessment Number: 1	Assessment Date: 9/9/20
Plant Type: Acrow Prop Plant Make: Plant Model:	Assessment Facilitated by: Leigh Evans (Admin/Accounts Manager)
Asset/Fleet/Rego No: ACROW Plant Serial No.	Assessment Participants: Lachlan Horton (Yard Manager)
Plant Owner Name: Northern Hire Group	Initial Assessment
Follow up based on change to:	
Use of plant ☐ System of work ☐ Plant Environmen	nent New or additional information Plant through modification
Is the plant designed to perform the task? Yes X	No 🗆
Has the plant been modified from the original condition? Yes \(\text{Yes} \)	No X
Is the plant in good working condition and free of weeds & Yes X mud?	No 🗆
All identified action items closed out/addressed (plant Yes X heads)?	No 🗆
Is the plant safe to operate? (On completion of PHA and Yes X action closure)	No 🗌
	Date: Signature:

Revision No: 1

Page 1 of 14



Risk / Opportunity Rating Table (see <u>Risk Management Consultation</u>
<u>Process Appendix</u> for a full description of Risk Consequence, Opportunity Consequence and Likelihood Ratings)

	Almost Certain	D	С	В	Α	A			
ting	Likely	D	D	С	В	Α			
Likelihood rating	Possible	Е	D	С	С	В			
Likeli	Unlikely	E	E	D	С	В			
	Rare	E	Е	D	D	С			
		1	2	3	4	5			
		Consequence rating							

Action and Approval Scheme

These suggested timings and tolerance levels in the Action Table will be overridden by specific policies of the company that either dictate shorter timeframes for corrective action or zero tolerance. For example, the company has a zero tolerance policy for Safety and Environmental risks.

The decision to tolerate a risk or capture a opportunity should be based on a consideration of:

Whether the risk / opportunity is being controlled to a level that is reasonably achievable;

Whether it would be cost-effective to further control risk or capture the opportunity;

Whether the user wishes to tolerate risks / opportunities of that type

Action Table

Residual risk / opp level	Suggested action	Timing of status report and management plans	Authority for continued toleration or improvement of residual rating.
A	Take action to eliminate or implement additional controls to reduce it to acceptable level (ALARP/SFAIRP). "Onsite activities" — Intolerable and activity must not commence	Report as soon as practicable. Normally within hours.	Senior Executive Manager Plus Project Manager / Project Leadership Team
В	Implement additional controls reduce it to ALARP/SFAIRP. "Onsite activities" – must not commence without Corporate Management review	Manage and re-evaluate risk / opportunity to allow reporting days Manage and re-evaluate risk / opportunity to allow reporting every two weeks	General Manager and / or Project Manager / Project Leadership Team
С	Implement additional controls reduce it to ALARP/SFAIRP. "Onsite activities" – must not commence without Site Management review	Manage and re-evaluate risk / opportunity to allow reporting monthly	"Specialist" Manager, eg Construction or Design Manager
D	Will still require attention within existing operations to reduce to ALARP/SFAIRP. "Onsite Activities" – Site Management must determine appropriate level of management and supervision prior to commencement of activity	Manage and re-evaluate risk / opportunity to allow reporting every quarter	Team Leader
E	Lower priority. May be tolerable	Monitor, manage and carryout activity in accordance with identified controls	Supervisor

Revision No: 1

Issue Date: 9/9/2020



Potential Hazards		Haza	rd N/	Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required on Plant	Final Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Action By:	Action Verified as Complete: (Name and
	Υ	N	A				OII FIAIIL		(Name and Date)	Date)
Are there any specific warnings or conditions (manufacturers or other) relating to potential hazards from the operation of the item of plant?	Υ			Potential Hazard	Refer to Safety Precausitons (attached) and load specifications.					
 Refer to technical or operating manuals, SOPs, safe use instructions List any relevant safety warning hazards & controls 					A site specific risk assessment should be conducted to determine safe placement					
2. Are there any COMMUNICATION requirements in relation to the safe operation of the plant?		N								
 Active signalling processes. Point to point communications. Whistle Spotter (with/without whistles) Flag signalling Labels and signage 										
 Can anyone be ENTANGLED in the plant? Hair or other body parts caught in moving parts PPE caught in moving parts Isolation devices Warning decals Guarding Rotating parts 	Y			Potential Hazard	Refer to Safety Precausitons (attached) and load specifications.					

Revision No: 1 Page 3 of 14

Issue Date: 9/9/2020



Potential Hazards	ı	Hazaı		Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/ A				on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
4. Can anyone be CRUSHED or TRAPPED? (e.g. through unexpected movement, lack of capability for plant or equipment to be slowed, stopped or immobilised, plant tipping or rolling, being thrown from plant) Emergency stop (E Stop) Service or parking brake Battery isolator ROPS/FOPs Being crushed between moving parts Unexpected movement Neutral Start Reversing/travel alarm Warning horn Amber flashing beacon Rear swing warning lights Pedals non slip surface Appropriate controls Rear view mirror Seat belt Door inter locks Crush zone decals Guarding devices Mandatory secondary protection device installed on all boomtype MEWP	Y			Death or serious injury from unexpected movement of prop resulting in shifting of load	Ensure that all operators follow approved SWMS/ SOP during use. Exclusion zones will be required and a site specific risk assessment must be undertaken onsite to determine extent of controls High risk Construction work requires the creation and consultation on SWMS –refer to local requirements.					

Revision No: 1 Page 4 of 14



Potential Hazards	ı	Hazaı		Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/				on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
5. Can anyone be CUT, STABBED or PUNCTURED?		N								
 Flying objects Moving parts Pinch points Sharp edges Isolation devices Warning decals Guarding 										
Between two moving and rotating parts Between fixed and moving parts Warning decals Guarding	Y			Ptential Hazard	Refer to Safety Precausitons (attached) and load specifications.					
7. Can ABRASION, TEARING or STRETCHING occur? Continuous contact with moving parts Warning decals Guarding Pulling/pushing		N								
8. Can anyone be STRUCK whilst operating the plant? Plant disintegrating Mobility of plant travelling Reversing/travel alarm Amber flashing beacon Work pieces thrown out Moving parts Warning decals Guarding	Y			Potential Hazard	Exclusion zones and PPE (goggles, mask, protective clothing) will be required and site specific a risk assessment must be undertaken to determine PPE and controls.					

Revision No: 1

Issue Date: 9/9/2020

Page 5 of 14



Potential Hazards	ı	Hazaı		Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/ A				on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
9. Can a hazardous PRESSURE be produced?		N								
Hydraulic hoses Radiator Come into contact with fluids under high pressure										
10. Can an ELECTRICAL hazard be created?		N								
 Lack of insulation Contact with electrical conductors Poor earthing Water near equipment Lack of isolation Warning decals 										
11. Can an EXPLOSION or LOSS OF CONTENTS occur?		N								
 Gas emission, Dusts Vapours, lubricants Fuel tank Storage of haz chemicals/ DG's near plant Warning decals Ejection of workpiece Collapse or fragmentation 										
 12. Can anyone using or near the plant SLIP, TRIP or FALL? Uneven surface Fall from a height Weather conditions Slippery surfaces 	Y			Potential Hazard	A site specific risk assessment must be undertaken by client prior to operating plant					

Revision No: 1

Issue Date: 9/9/2020

Page 6 of 14



Page 7 of 14

Potential Hazards	ŀ	Hazar	rd	Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/ A		23	201011	on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
13. Are there ERGONOMIC - MANUAL HANDLING hazards associated with the plant?		N								
 Poor posture Repetitive or sustained movements Awkward positions Strained movements Poorly designed seating Access and egress Access for maintenance Routine inspections and adjustments 										
14. Are there ERGONOMIC - OPERATING CONTROL hazards associated with the plant?		N								
 Difficult to understand Inappropriate colouring Function not identified Inappropriate controls & switches Access and egress Labelling of controls and indicators Variation in operators Operation by two or more persons 										

Revision No: 1

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the IMS electronic version for validity.



Potential Hazards	ŀ	Hazaı		Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/ A				on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
15. Are there specific requirements for ISOLATION of energy sources?		N								
Hydraulic pressure Compressed gases Electrical feeds/capacitors Motive power systems Suspended loads Operation by two or more persons										
16. Can unplanned LOSS of POWER create a hazard?		N								
 Engine shutdown Loss of electrical supply Loss of steering systems Ability to apply brakes and stop Ability to lower suspended loads 										
17. Can anyone be SUFFOCATED?		N								
 Lack of oxygen Contaminated atmosphere Confined spaces Spaces where air flow is inadequate 										
18. Does operation of the plant cause extreme TEMPERATURE changes?		N								
 Fire Burns through conduction Convection Cryogenic burns Operation in extreme heat or cold 										

Revision No: 1

Page 8 of 14

Issue Date: 9/9/2020



Potential Hazards	ŀ	lazar		Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/ A				on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
19. Can a FIRE occur?		Ν								
 Friction Ingress of materials/fluids 										
Build-up of										
materials/lubricants • Fuels										
 Fire extinguisher 										
20. Can certain WEATHER conditions create a		Ν								
hazard?										
 Hypothermia / extreme cold 										
 Heat stroke / extreme hot Wet conditions 										
Electrical storms										
 Dirt & mud on roads at egress points 										
21. Does VIBRATION of the		Ν								
plant create a hazard?										
Plant becomes unstableCauses physical problems for										
the operator whilst operating										
Vibration of equipmentOperation could cause										
unacceptable vibration levels										
in nearby structures 22. Can the plant emit toxic										
FUMES or VAPOURS?		N								
Exhaust fumes										
ChemicalsHaz chemicals/DG's										

Revision No: 1 Page 9 of 14



Potential Hazards		Hazaı	rd N/	Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Action By:	Action Verified as Complete: (Name and
	Υ	N	A				on Plant		(Name and Date)	Date)
23. Carry out NOISE survey on page 14. Is the plant noisy?			N/ A							
 Emit >85 dBA at the operator Effects operator communication Noise impacts on community during out-of-hours work (including reversing beepers) 										
24. Carry out the LIGHT survey on page 14. Is there poor visibility			N/ A							
 At the controls At the task Darkens surrounding areas Light impacts on community or sensitive natural environment during out-of-hours work 										
25. Does the plant emit RADIATION?		N								
Eg X-raysEMRLaser										
26. Can operation of the plant create DUST?			N/ A							
 Explosive atmosphere Breathing hazard Reduced visibility Nuisance dust at nearby community Impact on local flora and 										
fauna Loss of topsoil and spread of weeds and pathogens										

Revision No: 1

Issue Date: 9/9/2020

Page 10 of 14



Potential Hazards	ı	Haza		Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N/ A				on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
27. Can the plant become UNSTABLE during operation? Working on uneven / unstable	Y			Potential Hazard	Ensure prop is positioned on level stable ground and properly secured					
ground Shifting load Lack of plant support Outriggers					A site specific risk assessment must be undertaken by client onsite to determine PPE and controls					
28. Could LOSS of LOAD occur? Failure of ropes/slings Overloading Entanglement in surrounding structures Maintenance requirements	Y			Potential Hazard	Refer to load specifications to avoid overloading					
29. Is there anything in the SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT that may produce a hazard? Power lines Low ceiling Other plant Storage areas Co-located equipment Isolation requirements Potential for flash flooding if operating adjacent to waterways Operating in known areas of weeds, pathogens or contamination Operating in sensitive environments requiring protection from offsite	Y			Potential Hazard	A site specific risk assessment must be undertaken by client to detemine controls, PPE & exclusion zones.					

Revision No: 1

Issue Date: 9/9/2020

Page 11 of 14

Issue Date: 9/9/2020



Potential Hazards		Hazard			Describe Hazard	Controls	Current Risk Level	New or Additional Controls Required	Final Risk	New or Additional Controls Action	Action Verified as Complete:
	Υ	N	N.					on Plant	Level	By: (Name and Date)	(Name and Date)
30. Can CHEMICALS create a hazard?			N/ A	1							
 Leaking from plant Splashing Explosion PPE considerations Spill kit considerations 											
31. Operator TRAINING / QUALIFICATIONS?			N/ A	′							
 Training requirements Qualification requirements Competency assessments Documentation Operator's manual Equipment experience Product knowledge 											
32. Are there ANY OTHER potential hazards generated by or during the use of this item of plant and/or any attachments?		N									

ALL OPERATORS OF THE PLANT OR EQUIPMENT MUST BE BRIEFED ON THE PLANT HAZARD ASSESSMENT (PHA) PRIOR TO FIRST TIME USE.

ANY RELEVANT CONDITIONS WHICH MAY IMPACT ON THE OPERATION OF THIS ITEM OF PLANT OR EQUIPMENT MUST BE TRANSFERRED TO THE AMS/TRA.

Revision No: 1 Page 12 of 14



NOISE REPORT						
Equipment Type:	Acrow Prop	Serial/Asset No.				
Make:		Model:				
Test by (print):	Leigh Evans	Date:	9/9/20			
Signature:						
Sound Level Meter Ur	nit Used:					
Manufactures specifie	ed noise level:			dBA		
Background level:				dBA		
Results - Operator's			dBA	High Idle		
(Equipment Operating	j)		dBA	Low Idle		
Comments:		1				
Results – Bystander I						
At 7 metres from side	of equipment – Equip	oment Operating (Hig	gh Idle)			
Front				dBA		
Rear				dBA		
Left				dBA		
Right				dBA		
Comments:						

LIGHTING REPORT				
Test by (print):		Date:		
Signature:				
Lux Meter used:				
Results - Operator's station				
At controls				Lux
At emergency control				Lux
In front/over task				Lux
Left side task				Lux
Right side task				Lux
Comments:				
Results – Surroundings:				
Clearly seen by others?		□ Yes	□ No	
Decrease lighting in walkways	6?	□ Yes	□ No	
Decrease lighting to other wor	kstations?	□ Yes	□ No	
Comments:				

Revision No: 1
Issue Date: 9/9/2020



COMMENTS:

Revision No: 1

Page 14 of 14